

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 MELISSA TRAINER,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 USAA CASUALTY INSURANCE
12 COMPANY,

13 Defendant.
14

Case No. C18-00572 RSM

ORDER DENYING PROPOSED
PROTECTIVE ORDER

15 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the parties' Proposed Stipulated Protective
16 Order. Dkt. #11. The Court has reviewed the proposed order and now DECLINES to enter it,
17 as the parties have failed to comply with the Court's Local Civil Rules in several respects.

18 As an initial matter, the parties have failed to provide a redline version of the proposed
19 order. LCR 26(c)(2) ("Parties that wish to depart from the model order must provide the court
20 with a redlined version identifying departures from the model."). Further, as drafted, the
21 proposed order appears to confer blanket protection on those disclosures or responses to
22 discovery marked "confidential." Indeed, the proposed order removes all references to the
23 procedures for sealing under Local Civil Rule 5(g), or any requirement to satisfy the appropriate
24 standard for sealing records.¹ The Court will not sign such an order. See LCR 26(c)(2).
25
26
27

28 ¹ Instead, the parties reference GR 11 which no longer exists. Dkt. #11 at 6, ¶ 9. The Court reminds all counsel that it expects them to be familiar with the Court's Local Civil Rules and to

Moreover, the proposed order purports to control deadlines and motion noting dates that will be set by this Court. *See* Dkt. #11 at 6, ¶ 9 (“Any pending motion or hearing related to the filing shall be continued until after the motion to seal or redact has been decided by the Court.”). The Court will not endorse an order that will make wholesale changes to pending motions on the Court’s calendar.

For all of these reasons, the parties’ stipulated proposed Protective Order (Dkt. #11) is DENIED. Nothing in this Order precludes the parties from submitting a new proposed Order in compliance with the Court’s Local Civil Rules.

DATED this 29th day of August 2018.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

follow those Rules. In addition, the parties should familiarize themselves with the Court’s model Protective Order. The failure to follow the Court’s Local Rules in the future could result in sanctions.